

PERFORMANCE TRENDS OVER 4-YEAR PERIOD

Our School

	Absolute Rating	Improvement Rating	Adequate Yearly Progress
2001	Good	Average	N/A
2002	Good	Below Average	N/A
2003	Average	Unsatisfactory	No

PALMETTO ACHIEVEMENT CHALLENGE TESTS (PACT) RESULTS

Mathematics English/Language Arts Mathematics English/Language Arts

Definition of Critical Terms

Vory high property your well property to work at post grade level:

Middle Schools with Students like Ours

Mathematics	English/L	anguage Arts	Mathematics	English/Language Arts			
		Definition of Co	itical Terms				
Ad	vanced	Very high score; exceeded expects	ore; very well prepared to work at next grade level; ectations				
Pro	oficient	Well prepared to work at next grade level; met expectations					
Ва	sic	Met standards; mi	go to next grade level				
Be	low Basic			academic assistance plan; ess to the next grade level			

NOTE: Science and social studies are to be included in the 2005 school report card.

EVALUATIONS BY TEACHERS, STUDENTS, AND PARENTS

	Teachers	Students	Parents
Number of surveys returned	18	66	54
Percent satisfied with learning environment	88.9%	71.9%	84.9%
Percent satisfied with social and physical environment	72.2%	66.7%	58.0%
Percent satisfied with home-school relations	50.0%	81.8%	64.8%

Williston-Elko Middle								629008
PACT PERFORMANCE	BY GR	OUP	,	,	,			
		Rent Testing	/.	alou Basic		Proficient	Advanced ole Profi	cient and street
	/55	kri Testi	aged /	MBar	asic /	-coffcie	Many E	cient ance
	Englis	90,00	lested old	310	Basic ok	61/0/0	Pr 3/640	cient ancel
	/ • •	7	9/0	nglish/Lar			910	/ ১
All students	316	100.0	32.3	49.3	18.0	0.3	18.4	17.6
Gender	310	100.0	02.0	40.0	10.0	0.0	10.4	17.0
Male	177	100.0	35.8	49.4	14.8	N/A	14.8	17.6
Female	139	100.0	27.1	49.6	22.5	0.8	23.3	17.6
Racial/Ethnic Group								
White	148	100.0	22.0	48.5	28.8	0.8	29.5	17.6
African-American	165	100.0	40.4	50.0	9.6	N/A	9.6	17.6
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Disability Status								
Not disabled	241	100.0	22.8	53.9	22.8	0.4	23.3	17.6
Disabled	75	100.0	67.7	32.3	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-migrant	316	100.0	31.8	49.1	18.7	0.4	19.1	17.6
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	17.6
Non-limited English proficient	316	100.0	31.6	49.5	18.6	0.4	18.9	17.6
Socio-Economic Status		400.0	07.4	54.0	44.0	NI/A	11.0	47.0
Subsidized meals	221	100.0	37.1	51.8	11.2	N/A	11.2	17.6
Full-pay meals	95	100.0	19.3	44.3	35.2	1.1	36.4	17.6
				Modbo	motion.			
All students	316	99.7	28.7	50.9	matics 13.0	7.5	20.5	15.5
Gender	310	33.1	20.1	50.5	13.0	7.0	20.0	10.0
Male	177	99.4	29.8	46.6	14.3	9.3	23.6	15.5
Female	139	100.0	27.1	55.8	11.6	5.4	17.1	15.5
Racial/Ethnic Group	100	100.0	27.1	30.0	. 1.0	5.4		10.0
White	148	99.3	16.0	50.4	19.8	13.7	33.6	15.5
African-American	165	100.0	39.1	50.6	7.7	2.6	10.3	15.5
Asian/Pacific Islander	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Hispanic	3	100.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
American Indian/Alaskan	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Disability Status	1471							
Not disabled	241	100.0	21.1	53.9	15.5	9.5	25.0	15.5
Disabled	75	98.7	57.4	39.3	3.3	N/A	3.3	15.5
Migrant Status								
Migrant	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-migrant	316	99.7	27.6	51.2	13.4	7.8	21.2	15.5
English Proficiency								
Limited English proficient	N/A	0.0	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	15.5
Non-limited English proficient	316	99.7	27.7	51.2	13.3	7.7	21.1	15.5
Socio-Economic Status								
Cubaidizad maala	224	00.5	25.0	E0 2	117	2.0	117	155

35.0

11.4

50.3

53.4

17.0

14.7

35.2

18.2

15.5

15.5

99.5

100.0

221

Subsidized meals

Full-pay meals

49.3

55.9

20.3

17.6

N/A

N/A

20.3

17.6

PACT PERFORMANCE BY GRADE LEVEL

Grade 7

Grade 8

77

72

100.0

100.0

triding of testics olo Profese Handeled olo Balom Basic o/o Advanced olo Proficient olo Tested olo Basic English/Language Arts Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 62 17.7 58.1 24.2 N/A 24.2 N/A Grade 6 30.7 50.7 16.0 2.7 18.7 75 N/A Grade 7 71 56.3 N/A N/A 15.5 28.2 28.2 Grade 8 69 N/A 15.9 53.6 26.1 4.3 30.4 Grade 3 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 4 N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A N/A Grade 5 90 100.0 40.0 48.2 11.8 N/A 11.8 Grade 6 77 100.0 30.6 44.4 23.6 1.4 25.0

30.4

26.5

	Mathamatica										
	Mathematics										
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2002	Grade 5	62	N/A	22.6	50.0	21.0	6.5	27.4			
20	Grade 6	75	N/A	22.7	60.0	12.0	5.3	17.3			
	Grade 7	71	N/A	36.6	36.6	16.9	9.9	26.8			
•	Grade 8	69	N/A	18.8	55.1	15.9	10.1	26.1			
	Grade 3	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
	Grade 4	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A			
2003	Grade 5	90	100.0	35.3	55.3	8.2	1.2	9.4			
20	Grade 6	77	100.0	18.1	44.4	22.2	15.3	37.5			
	Grade 7	77	98.7	30.9	51.5	10.3	7.4	17.6			
	Grade 8	72	100.0	29.4	51.5	11.8	7.4	19.1			

SCHOOL PROFILE

C	Our School	Change from Last Year	Middle Schools with Students Like Ours	Median Middle School
Students (n= 311)				
Students enrolled in high school credit courses (grades 7 & 8)	10.9%	Down from 48.4%	12.1%	14.4%
Retention rate	N/A	N/A	3.3%	2.3%
Attendance rate Eligible for gifted and talented	94.9%	Down from 95.6%	95.0%	95.2%
	16.6%	Down from 17.3%	12.4%	13.6%
On academic plans On academic probation	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
With disabilities other than speech Older than usual for grade	21.0%	Up from 19.3%	14.9%	14.1%
	9.6%	Up from 9.3%	5.1%	4.9%
Suspended or expelled	3.9%	Down from 19.9%	1.2%	1.3%
Annual dropout rate	0.0%	No change	0.0%	0.0%
Teachers (n= 22)				
Teachers with advanced degrees Continuing contract teachers	18.2%	Down from 26.1%	43.6%	47.1%
	63.6%	Down from 78.3%	79.3%	82.5%
Highly qualified teachers Teachers returning from previous year	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A
	78.4%	Up from 69.2%	82.2%	84.3%
Teacher attendance rate Average teacher salary	93.2%	Down from 96.1%	94.9%	95.0%
	\$33,121	No change	\$38,722	\$39,924
Prof. development days/teacher	12.8 days	Up from 11.0 days	10.0 days	10.7 days
School				
Principal's years at school	2.0	Up from 1.0	4.0	3.0
Student-teacher ratio	20.9 to 1	Up from 17.1 to 1	20.7 to 1	21.0 to 1
Prime instructional time	86.6%	Down from 90.0%	88.4%	88.9%
Dollars spent per pupil*	\$5,122	Up 5.0%	\$5,926	\$5,854
Percent spent on teacher salaries* Opportunities in the arts	65.7%	Up from 63.6%	63.5%	62.0%
	Good	No change	Good	Good
Parents attending conferences SACS accreditation	99.0%	Up from 82.2%	93.1%	94.8%
	no	N/A	yes	yes
			•	•

^{*} Prior year audited financial data are reported.

	Our District	State	
Highly qualified teachers in low poverty schools	N/A	N/A	
Highly qualified teachers in high poverty schools	N/A	N/A	

			• •	
Δhhr	eviation	e tor N	liccina	I lata

					•			
N/A	Not Applicable	N/C	Not Collected	N/R	Not Reported	I/S	Insufficient Sample	

REPORT OF PRINCIPAL AND SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT COUNCIL

Williston-Elko Middle School has completed a spectacular year! As always academics have been our first priority. We received a "GOOD" absolute rating on our 2001-2002 Report Card. Students, teachers, and the community have worked diligently towards making our school the best middle school in South Carolina. We have had a plant-a- tree day, where students, parents, and teachers spruced up our school grounds. This venture was woven into our Exemplary Writing Program. Each student was asked to write a persuasive composition asking their parent/guardian to plant and donate a tree/bush for this special day. Additionally, students had the opportunity to interview senior citizens from our community and write their biographies as part of our Exemplary Writing Program. They did an awesome job!

Williston-Elko Middle School was recently recognized as a Red Carpet School! It is great to receive recognition for something we already knew - we are a user-friendly school. Congratulations to all! It was truly a united effort to receive this award.

Sincerely,

Mark Robertson Principal

DEFINITIONS OF SCHOOL RATING TERMS

- Excellent School performance substantially exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Good School performance exceeds the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Average School performance meets the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Below Average School is in jeopardy of not meeting the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal
- Unsatisfactory School performance fails to meet the standards for progress toward the 2010 SC Performance Goal

DEFINITION OF ADEQUATE YEARLY PROGRESS

As required by the United States Department of Education, adequate yearly progress specified that the statewide target is met for all students and for each subgroup of students: racial/ethnic, economic, disability, limited English proficiency and migrant status.